

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/476,633	12/31/1999	LI-SHUN WANG	042390.P7832	8091
75	590 03/18/2002			
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			EXAMINER	
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025		GARCIA, JOANNIE A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823	
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*

• `	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/476,633	WANG ET AL				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joannie A García	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address					
THE REPLY FILED 05 March 2002 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice it is appliced to the same of the	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data are been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the contract of th	•					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attachment.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: none.						
Claim(s) objected to: none.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4, 6-28</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	t .					
B. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<i>-</i> -//				
10. Other:	Wae	1 tabany -				
	SUPERVIS	ORY PRIMARY EXAMINER				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2300

Application/Control Number: 09/476,633

Art Unit: 2823

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-4, 7, 9-12, 15, 18, 19, and 26-28 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kishii et al.

The rejection is maintained as stated in the Office Action mailed 12-17-01, and as stated below.

Applicant argues that the step disclosed in Column 14, lines 57-58, of Kishii et al is not encompassed by the term "rinse". Applicant points out to exhibit A attached to the amendment filed 3-5-02, stating that the definition of "rinse" therein excludes the step of Column 14, lines 57-58. However, the step disclosed in Column 14, lines 57-58 falls within the definition of exhibit A because the slurry used in the chemical mechanical polishing step is removed using water, which stops the chemical action of the CMP slurry, in view of this definition and the definition provided in the Office Action mailed 12-17-01.

Claims 6, 8, 13, 14, 16, 17, and 20-22, remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kishii et al as applied to claims 1-5, 7, 9-12, 15, 18, and 19 above, and further in view of the following comment.

The rejection is maintained as stated in the Office Action mailed 12-17-01.

Claims 23-26 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one

Application/Control Number: 09/476,633

Art Unit: 2823

skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no description in the specification as originally filed of the used of hydrogen peroxide only. There is only seen support for hydrogen peroxide and nitric acid (Page 8, lines 1-2), and hydrogen peroxide and deionized water (Page 8, lines 15-19).

The rejection is maintained as stated in the Office Action mailed 12-17-01, and as stated below.

Applicant argues that there is adequate description for recitation of "consisting of" or "consisting essentially of" hydrogen peroxide. However, the portion of the specification pointed to does not describe the use of such a solution. Hydrogen peroxide is a solid at room temperature, and the portion pointed to only describes the use of aqueous solution.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. **See MPEP 203.08**.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner J. Garcia whose telephone number is (703) 306-5733. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (703) 308-4918. The fax number for this group is (703) 308-7722 (and 7724), and (703) 305-3431 (and 3432). MPEP 502.01 contains instructions regarding procedures used in submitting responses by facsimile transmission.

